SINGLE BENCH

(5)

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. (C) 7079 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Dilip Kumar Bhardwaj S/o Shri R.R.Bhardwaj , aged about 26 years, resident of Village Samdil, Post Office Rajpur , District Bilaspur (CG).

P.R. No. 22/03/06
Presented by Shri L. C. Das
dated 21/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT : Guru Ghasidas University , Through Registrar, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(31)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C).7079/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4.1.2007: Shri L.C. Das counsel for the petitioner. Heard. The petitioner has filed this petition with a prayer for a direction to the respondents to produce the answer sheets of the petitioner for M.A. (Pre.) and M.A. (final) examination and get the same re-evaluated. Grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner appeared in M.A. (previous) examination 2004 and secured 268 out of 500 marks. Subsequently he appeared in M.A. (final) examination – 2005 and secured 300 out of 500 marks. Being dis-satisfied by the abovementioned marking, the petitioner appeared in Division Improvement Examination for MA (previous) in the year 2006 and secured 267 out of 500 marks. He also appeared in Division Improvement Examination for M.A. (final) in the year 2006 and secured 278 out 500 marks. Again being dis-satisfied with the above said result, he applied for rechecking and paid requisite fee therefor as per Annexure P-10, but however no change in the marks obtained by the petitioner has been communicated to him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been an outstanding student. The mark sheets of M.A. (previous) and M.A. (final) would go to show that the petitioner secured 61 and 62 marks in paper No. 2 and 4 and similarly in M.A. (final) examination he secured 66, 64 and 64 marks respectively in papers No. 1, 2 and 4. However, in the Division Improvement Examination answer sheets were not properly evaluated and in the aforesaid circumstances the same may be directed to be re-evaluated.	

(32)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(C) 7079/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Heard counsel for the petitioner and perused the mark sheets obtained by the petitioner in various examinations. From perusal of the mark sheets of the petitioner, it is found that he appeared in the examination twice but the marks remained almost identical. For the purpose of re-evaluation of the answer sheets, directions cannot be issued in the absence of clear rules in this regard. In this case the petitioner was permitted to appear for Division Improvement Examination and even in that examination the marks remained unchanged which he had secured in the regular examination. Therefore, no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for re-evaulation of the answer sheets. In the result the petition being devoid of substance is liable to be dismissed and the same is accordingly dismissed. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

17/11/07